



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,178	01/03/2002	Alexander Medvinsky	018926-0003400	-7577	
20350 7:	590 07/31/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER OR	PARK, ILWOO			
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	C/	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	ð	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding,

·'.		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/890,178		MEDVINSKY ET AI	L.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
	·	Ilwoo Park		2182					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		sheet with the co		iress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>								
2a)□	·	is action is non-fi							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	r.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 09/890,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin, US patent No. 4,935,962 in view of Fischer, US patent No. 4,868,877.

As to claim 1, Austin teaches a method for providing self-issuing certificates [col. 11, lines 42-44] in a device [card 30], the method comprising:

receiving, from an external source [card acceptor device 32] a request to generate a new certificate, wherein the request includes [col. 8, lines 5-6] an encrypted public key [public key e];

using a secure microprocessor [microprocessor 36] to generate a new certificate [Y] that uses [col. 8, lines 11-13] the public key; and

using the new certificate in data transfers [col. 8, lines 30-33].

However, Austin does not teach the device in a telecommunication system.

Fischer teaches a device [terminal A] in a telecommunication system [col. 5, lines 60-65].

Art Unit: 2182

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Austin and Fischer because they both teach a data transfer using a certificate and the Fischer's teaching of application in telecommunication system would increase flexibility in communication data path [Austin's path 34].

4. As to claim 2, Fischer teaches a validity time [expiration data in fig. 5] in a new certificate.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ilwoo Park whose telephone number is (703) 308-7811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, 4th Floor (Receptionist)

Page 4

Alwoo Park

July 21, 2003